k_ν being a null vector, it follows from (13) that $B_\varrho B^\varrho \leqslant 0$ for sufficiently large r.

The total charge e contained in the field can be calculated by means of Gauss' law

$$4\pi e = \oint_S f^{k0} n^k dS .$$

Though f^{k0} contains terms going as $1/r$, nevertheless e is finite by virtue of (14).

INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

REFERENCES

[1] A. Sommerfeld, *Partielle Differentialgleichungen der Physik*, 3. Aufl. Leipzig 1954.

THEORETICAL PHYSICS

Radiation and Boundary Conditions in the Theory of Gravitation

by

A. TRAUTMAN

Presented by L. INFELD on April 12, 1958

The aim of this paper is to discuss the connection between the problem of gravitational radiation and the boundary conditions at infinity. We shall deal with the concept of energy and momentum in Einstein's general relativity and propose a prescription for computing the total radiated energy. A connection between our radiation conditions and the definitions of gravitational radiation by Pirani and Lichnerowicz is shown in section 5.

1. In physics we are ordinarily interested in conservation laws which have an integral character. A classical conserved quantity is a functional $f[\sigma]$ depending on a space-like hypersurface σ. A conservation law is a statement that, by virtue of the equations of motion, f, in fact, does not depend on σ. As is known, in general relativity the energy-momentum tensor of matter $\mathfrak{T}_{\mu\nu}$ does not by itself lead to an integral conservation law. However, if we introduce an energy-momentum pseudotensor of the gravitational field $\mathfrak{t}_\mu^\nu = (\delta_\mu^\nu \mathfrak{G} + g^{\varrho\sigma}{}_{,\mu} \partial \mathfrak{G}/\partial g^{\varrho\sigma}{}_{,\nu})/2\varkappa$, then the sum $\mathfrak{T}_\mu^\nu + \mathfrak{t}_\mu^\nu$ is divergenceless by virtue of Einstein's equations *). Einstein's tensor density $\mathfrak{G}^\nu_\mu = \sqrt{-g}(R^\nu_\mu - \tfrac{1}{2}\delta^\nu_\mu R)$ can namely be written in the form

$$(1) \qquad \mathfrak{G}_\mu{}^\nu \equiv \varkappa(\mathfrak{t}_\mu{}^\nu + \mathfrak{U}_\mu{}^{\lambda\nu}{}_{,\lambda}),$$

where the "superpotentials" $\mathfrak{U}_\mu{}^{\nu\lambda}$ are given in [1]

$$(2) \qquad 2\varkappa \mathfrak{U}_\mu{}^{\nu\lambda} \equiv \sqrt{-g}\, g^{\sigma\varrho} \delta^\nu_\mu g^{\lambda\tau} g_{\varrho\sigma,\tau} \equiv -2\varkappa \mathfrak{U}_\mu{}^{\lambda\nu} .$$

---

*) We shall use the notations of the preceding paper; $g_{\mu\nu}$ will denote the metric tensor of the Riemannian space-time V_4. Gothic letters denote tensor densities and also "pseudoquantities" such as the superpotentials.

If the Einstein equations

$$(3) \qquad G_{\mu\nu} = -\varkappa T_{\mu\nu}$$

are satisfied, then Eqs. (1) and (2) imply

$$(4) \qquad \mathfrak{T}_\mu{}^\nu + \mathfrak{t}_\mu{}^\nu = \mathfrak{U}_\mu{}^{\nu\lambda}{}_{,\lambda}, \quad \text{thus} \quad (\mathfrak{T}_\mu{}^\nu + \mathfrak{t}_\mu{}^\nu)_{,\nu} = 0 .$$

The functions $\mathfrak{t}_\mu{}^\nu$ are not components of a tensor density (equivalence principle) and many physicists (e. g., Schrödinger [2]) have raised doubts as to their physical meaning. Einstein [3] and F. Klein [4] formulated some conditions which enable us to consider the integrals

$$(5) \qquad P_\mu[\sigma] = \int_\sigma (\mathfrak{T}_\mu{}^\nu + \mathfrak{t}_\mu{}^\nu) dS_\nu = \oint_S \mathfrak{U}_\mu{}^{\nu\lambda} dS_{\nu\lambda}$$

as representing the total energy and momentum of the system: matter and gravitational field. These conditions can be summarized as follows. Let us take an isolated system of masses ($T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ outside a bounded 3-region) and assume the existence of co-ordinates such that [5]

$$(6) \qquad g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + O(r^{-1}), \quad g_{\mu\nu,\varrho} = O(r^{-2}),$$

where r denotes the distance measured along geodesics from a fixed point on a space-like σ. Eqs. (6) have a double meaning: they constitute a system of boundary conditions, and they distinguish a set of co-ordinate systems ("Galilean at infinity").

Using (4) it can be easily proved that: $1^0 - P_\mu[\sigma]$, calculated from (5) in a co-ordinate system satisfying (6), is always finite and does not depend on σ; $2^0 - P_\mu$ does not depend on co-ordinate changes which do not alter (6) and reduce to an identity for $r \to \infty$; $3^0 - P_\mu$ is a vector with respect to linear orthogonal transformations. The proof is based on the vanishing of the integral

$$(7) \qquad p_\mu = \int_\Sigma (\mathfrak{T}_\mu{}^\nu + \mathfrak{t}_\mu{}^\nu) dS_\nu$$

taken over a *time-like* "cylindrical" hypersurface Σ at spatial infinity (note that S appearing in (5) is the intersection of Σ and σ). The vanishing of these integrals is ensured by (6) ($\mathfrak{t}_\mu{}^\nu$ is quadratic in $g_{\mu\nu,\varrho}$) and our assumption on $T_{\mu\nu}$. The integral (7) can eventually be identified with the total energy and momentum radiated through Σ, and Lichnerowicz's boundary conditions (6) automatically exclude the existence of any radiation.

2. Comparison with electrodynamics suggests that radiation fields in general relativity should be characterized by $g_{\mu\nu,\varrho} \sim 1/r$, rather than by $g_{\mu\nu,\varrho} \sim 1/r^2$. However, if the integrals (7) do not vanish, the proof of the Einstein-Klein theorem is no longer valid and doubts as to the

meaning of (5) arise anew. We propose to generalize the boundary conditions (6) in such a way as to include radiation fields. We expect that these conditions will ensure the finiteness of P_μ and that P_μ will not change with co-ordinate transformations which reduce to an identity for $r \to \infty$ and preserve the *form* of the boundary conditions. The dependence of P_μ on σ will now correspond to the diminishing of total energy due to radiation.

Fock [6] proposes to normalize the co-ordinate systems by means of de Donder's relation

$$(8) \qquad \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\nu}{}_{,\nu} = 0$$

and imposes on $g_{\mu\nu}$ the radiation condition of Sommerfeld. We find this formulation somewhat stringent. In particular, we see no reason for restricting ourselves to harmonic co-ordinates only. There is no convincing argument for writing the Schwarzschild line element in harmonic co-ordinates instead of, say, in isotropic ones.

We generalize the conditions of Fock along the lines presented in the preceding paper. First, introduce a null vector field k_ν defined as follows. Let n^ν be a unit space-like vector lying in σ, perpendicular to the "sphere" $r = \text{const.}$, and pointing outside it. We put $k^\nu = n^\nu + t^\nu$, where t denotes a unit time-like vector normal to σ, such that $t^0 > 0$.

Now, we formulate the following boundary conditions to be imposed on gravitational fields due to isolated systems of matter: *there exist co-ordinate systems and functions* $h_{\mu\nu} = O(r^{-1})$ *such that*

$$(9) \qquad g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + O(r^{-1}), \quad g_{\mu\nu,\varrho} = h_{\mu\nu} k_\varrho + O(r^{-2}),$$

$$(10) \qquad (h_{\mu\nu} - \tfrac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\varrho\sigma} h_{\varrho\sigma}) k^\nu = O(r^{-2}) .$$

These conditions correspond to Sommerfeld's "Ausstrahlungsbedingung"; we obtain the "Einstrahlungsbedingung" assuming n^ν to be a normal pointing inward the sphere $r = \text{const.}$ Relations (9), (10) are *weaker* than (6); this means that every field fulfilling (6) satisfies also our conditions (9), (10). The class of co-ordinate systems distinguished by (9) and (10) is larger than that defined by (6). Eq. (10) restricts the co-ordinate systems to those which are asymptotically harmonic; however, it must be noted that isotropic co-ordinates used in the Schwarzschild V_4 are asymptotically harmonic in our meaning.

Strictly speaking the correctness of conditions (9) and (10) might be inferred only if it were possible to show that Einstein's equations with bounded sources have always exactly one solution satisfying (9), (10). But it is not an easy task to prove this theorem.

3. We shall now present some consequences of (9) and (10). First of all, we must examine the convergence of energy integrals (5). The superpotentials are linear in $g_{\mu\nu,\varrho}$ and thus go as $1/r$; we must therefore

show that the terms behaving as $1/r$ cancel out in the surface integral (5). Indeed, the surface element $dS_{\lambda\nu}$ is proportional to $n_{[\lambda}t_{\nu]} = n_{[\lambda}k_{\nu]}$, and the terms in question in (5) can be written as $\eta^{\sigma[\varrho}\delta_\mu^{\nu]}\eta^{\lambda[\tau}h_{\varrho\sigma}k_\tau k_{[\nu}n_{\lambda]}$. Taking into account (10), we verify that this expression does vanish.

Let us take a co-ordinate transformation

$$(11) \qquad x^\nu \to x'^\nu = x^\nu + a^\nu(x)$$

fulfilling

$$(12) \qquad a^\nu = o(r), \qquad a_{\nu,\mu} = b_\nu k_\mu + O(r^{-2})$$

where

$$a_\nu = \eta_{\nu\mu}a^\mu, \qquad b_\nu = O(r^{-1}),$$

and

$$(13) \qquad a_{\nu,\mu\varrho} = b_{\nu,\mu}k_\varrho + O(r^{-2}), \qquad b_{\nu,\varrho} = O(r^{-1}).$$

From (13) follows the existence of functions $c_\nu = O(r^{-1})$ such that

$$(14) \qquad b_{\nu,\mu} = c_\nu k_\mu + O(r^{-2}).$$

Co-ordinate transformations (11) satisfying (12) and (13) preserve the form of our boundary conditions; this can be easily seen from the transformation formulae for $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $h_{\mu\nu}$:

$$(15) \qquad \begin{aligned} g'_{\mu\nu}(x') &\cong g_{\mu\nu}(x) + b_\mu k_\nu + b_\nu k_\mu, \\ h'_{\mu\nu}(x') &\cong h_{\mu\nu}(x) + c_\mu k_\nu + c_\nu k_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Computing the superpotentials in both co-ordinate systems and taking into account the relations (9)-(15) we obtain

$$\mathfrak{U}_\mu'^{\nu\lambda}k'_\nu n'_\lambda = \mathfrak{U}_\mu^{\nu\lambda}k_\nu n_\lambda + O(r^{-3}).$$

Therefore, the total energy and momentum P_μ are well defined by (5) and the boundary conditions (9), (10). It must be noted that our prescription demands that the *calculation* of P_μ should be performed by means of (5) using co-ordinates which satisfy Eqs. (9) and (10). This does not at all mean that the energy is only a property of the co-ordinate system. The vector $P_\mu[\sigma]$ constitutes a *global* characteristic of the field and it is only for computational purposes that we must appeal to (9), (10).

4. The total energy and momentum p_μ radiated between two hypersurfaces σ and σ' is given by (7), or by

$$p_\mu = P_\mu[\sigma] - P_\mu[\sigma'] = \int_\Sigma \mathfrak{t}_\mu^\nu dS_\nu$$

($T_{\mu\nu}$ vanishes on Σ). The boundary conditions enable the estimation of p_μ; we have, indeed,

$$(16) \qquad \mathfrak{t}_\mu^\nu = \tau k_\mu k^\nu + O(r^{-3}),$$

where

$$(17) \qquad 4\varkappa\tau = h^{\mu\nu}(h_{\mu\nu} - \tfrac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\varrho\sigma}h_{\varrho\sigma}).$$

τ is invariant with respect to transformation (15) and is *non-negative* by virtue of (10); therefore $p_0 \geqslant 0$. The existence of radiation is characterized by $p_\mu \neq 0$.

We could also take a more general case, including the electromagnetic field. The boundary conditions for $g_{\mu\nu}$ should be supplemented by those for $f_{\varrho\sigma}$ given in the preceding paper.

We obtain in this case $\mathfrak{T}_\mu^\nu + \mathfrak{t}_\mu^\nu = \bar\tau k_\mu k^\nu + O(r^{-3})$, $0 \leqslant \bar\tau = O(r^{-2})$.

5. Pirani [7] and Lichnerowicz [8] recently proposed definitions of pure radiation fields. It may be interesting to compare their definitions with our approach. Let us admit the additional but reasonable assumption that the second derivatives of $g_{\mu\nu}$ also go to 0 as $1/r$ and that $g_{\mu\nu,\varrho\sigma} \cong h_{\mu\nu,\sigma}k_\varrho$. From $h_{\mu\nu,\sigma}k_\varrho \cong h_{\mu\nu,\varrho}k_\sigma$ there follows the existence of functions $i_{\mu\nu} = O(r^{-1})$ such that

$$(18) \qquad g_{\mu\nu,\varrho\sigma} \cong i_{\mu\nu}k_\varrho k_\sigma, \qquad (i_{\mu\nu} - \tfrac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\varrho\sigma}i_{\varrho\sigma})k^\nu \cong 0.$$

For the curvature tensor we get

$$(19) \qquad R \cong \tfrac{1}{2}k_{[\mu}i_{\nu][\varrho}k_{\sigma]}.$$

The principal part of $R_{\mu\nu\varrho\sigma}$ has therefore the same form as a discontinuity of the Riemann tensor [9] and is thus of type II in the Petrov-Pirani classification [7].

The terms proportional to $1/r$ in $R_{\mu\nu}$ cancel out by virtue of (10). Conversely, $R_{\mu\nu} \cong 0$ and Eq. (18) imply $R_{\mu\nu\varrho\sigma} \cong 0$ unless $k_\nu k^\nu = 0$. If we take into account the electromagnetic field, Einstein's equations can be written in the form

$$(20) \qquad R_{\mu\nu} = \varrho k_\mu k_\nu + O(r^{-3}), \qquad \varrho = O(r^{-2}).$$

Moreover, it follows from (19) that

$$(21) \qquad k_{[\mu}R_{\nu\varrho]\sigma\tau} \cong 0, \qquad k^\mu R_{\mu\nu\varrho\sigma} \cong 0.$$

If one replaces the asymptotic equalities $\cong$ by strict ones, then Eqs. (20) and (21) become Lichnerowicz's conditions [8] characterizing a pure radiation field. The definitions of Lichnerowicz and Pirani concern the idealized case of pure radiation. Actual metrics approach these radiation fields only in the limit $r \to \infty$ (wave zone).

REFERENCES

[1] J. Goldberg, Phys. Rev., **89** (1953), 263.

[2] E. Schrödinger, Physik. Z., **19** (1918), 4.

[3] A. Einstein, Berl. Ber., (1918), 448.

[4] F. Klein, Nachr. Ges. Göttingen (1918), 394.

[5] A. Lichnerowicz, *Théories relativistes de la gravitation et de l'électromagnétisme*, Paris 1955.

[6] V. Fock, *Theory of space, time and gravitation* (in Russian), Moscow, 1955.

[7] F. Pirani, Phys. Rev. **105** (1957), 1089.

[8] A. Lichnerowicz, Comptes Rendus, **246** (1958), 893.

[9] A. Trautman, *Discontinuities of field derivatives and radiation in covariant theories*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Cl. III, **5** (1957), 273.

THEORETICAL PHYSICS

On the Isobars of the Nucleon in the Fixed-Source Theory

by

W. KRÓLIKOWSKI

Presented by W. RUBINOWICZ on April 19, 1958

The aim of this paper is to add some remarks to the discussion of isobars of the nucleon in the fixed-source pion theory [1].

In this theory the Hamiltonian has the form

$$(1) \qquad H = M + H^M + H^{\mathrm{Int}},$$

where

$$(2) \qquad H^M = \tfrac{1}{2} \int d_3 x [\pi_j^2 + c^2 \varphi_j(-\Delta + \mu^2)\varphi_j],$$

$$(3) \qquad H^{\mathrm{Int}} = g\sigma_i\tau_j \int d_3 x \varrho\, \partial_i \varphi_j = -g\sigma_i\tau_j \int d_3 x\, \partial_i \varrho \varphi_j$$

and M is the bare mass of the nucleon.

Using the orthonormal expansions

$$(4) \qquad \varphi_j(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\lambda,l,m} q^{(j)}_{\lambda l m} u_{\lambda l}(r) Y_{lm}(\vartheta, \varphi),$$

$$(5) \qquad \pi_j(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\lambda,l,m} p^{(j)}_{\lambda l m} u_{\lambda l}(r) Y^*_{lm}(\vartheta, \varphi),$$

we separate all degrees of freedom with $l \neq 1$ [2] (we assume $\varrho(\vec{x}) = \varrho(r)$). If we introduce the notation

$$(6) \qquad q_{\lambda i j} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q^{(j)}_{\lambda 11} + q^{(j)*}_{\lambda 11}) & i = 1 \\[2mm] \dfrac{1}{i\sqrt{2}}(q^{(j)}_{\lambda 11} - q^{(j)*}_{\lambda 11}) & i = 2 \\[2mm] q^{(j)}_{\lambda 10} & i = 3 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(7) \qquad p_{\lambda i j} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p^{(j)}_{\lambda 11} + p^{(j)*}_{\lambda 11}) & i = 1 \\[2mm] \dfrac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(p^{(j)}_{\lambda 11} - p^{(j)*}_{\lambda 11}) & i = 2 \\[2mm] p^{(j)}_{\lambda 10} & i = 3, \end{cases}$$