Renormalizing spin foam models: quantum cuboids and beyond

Sebastian Steinhaus

sebastian.steinhaus@desy.de

II. Institute f. Theoretical Physics University of Hamburg

Plenary talk @ Loops '17, Warsaw, Poland July 7th 2017





Motivation

- Spin foams are a **microscopic** ansatz for **quantum space-time**
- How can we 'zoom out' from Planck scale to large scales?



• Renormalization group ideal tool: relate theories at different scales

- Check **consistency** of theory
- Fate of diffeomorphism symmetry
- Efficiently extract results from the theory!

Key idea: Relate states across Hilbert spaces to compare transitions! Numerical techniques are essential!

Sebastian Steinhaus (UHH)

Proof of principle: renormalizing quantum cuboids

• Compare observable in two 'quantum cuboid' configurations



Indications for phase transition [Bahr, S.St. PRL '16]: UV-attractive fixed point with restoration of diffeomorphism symmetry?

Sebastian Steinhaus (UHH)

Outline

1 Spin foams and renormalization

- 2 Tensor network renormalization
- 3 Renormalizing restricted spin foam models
- 4 Outlook and Summary

Spin foams in a nutshell [Engle, Pereira, Rovelli, Livine, Freidel, Krasnov, Barrett, Crane,...]



- **Spin foams**: path integral for kinematical states of loop quantum gravity
 - Spin network states on the boundary of the foam
- $\bullet~{\rm Spin}~{\rm network}\sim 3D~{\rm geometry}$
 - Node: Intertwiner $\iota \sim$ shape of a polyhedron
 - Link: Representation j \sim area of face
- Spin foam 'evolves' boundary state
 - Irreducible representations j_f on faces f
 - Intertwiners ι_e on edges e

Combinatorics tell how polyhedra are glued together to form a 4D geometry.

Spin foams in a nutshell II [Engle, Pereira, Rovelli, Livine, Freidel, Krasnov, Barrett, ...]



- Path integral given as a sum over all possible spin foam states {\u03c6, j_f}
- Spin foam: assign amplitude to geometry
 - \mathcal{A}_v : vertex amplitude
 - \mathcal{A}_e : edge amplitude
 - \mathcal{A}_f : face amplitude
- Amplitude functional $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{H}_b \to \mathbb{C}$
 - \mathcal{H}_b : boundary Hilbert space on a discretisation b
- Transition amplitude for $\mathcal{H}_b = \mathcal{H}_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_f^*$
 - $\langle s'|s \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}(s \otimes s')$

$$Z = \sum_{\{j_f\}, \{\iota_e\}} \prod_f \mathcal{A}_f(j_f) \prod_e \mathcal{A}_e(\{j_f\}, \iota_e) \prod_v \mathcal{A}_v(\{j_f\}, \{\iota_e\})$$

Spin foams: Results and interesting directions

• Asymptotic expansion of vertex amplitude $A_v \sim e^{iS_R} + e^{-iS_R}$

[Barrett, Dowdall, Fairbairn, Gomes, Hellmann, Conrady, Freidel,...]

- S_R : Regge action, discretisation of general relativity
- Cosmological constant in spin foams [Turaev, Viro, Han, Meusburger, Fairbairn,

Haggard, Kaminski, Riello,...]

- Recent progress:
 - Proper vertex amplitude [Engle, Zipfel, Vilensky,...]
 - Lorentzian amplitudes [Speziale, Dona, Kaminski, Kisielowski, Sahlmann,...] [see Speziale's and Kisielowski's talks]
 - Computing Pachner moves [Banburski, Chen, Freidel, Hnybida]
- Towards **observations**:
 - Spin foam cosmology [Rovelli, Bianchi, Vidotto, Rennert, Sloan]
 - Black hole tunneling from spin foams [Christodoulou, Rovelli, Speziale, Vilensky,...] [see Rovelli's talk]

Most of these calculations are done for **few simplices**.

Can we reliably extract results from coarse discretisations?

Sebastian Steinhaus (UHH)

Spin foams as a theory

- Do predictions depend on ambiguities? [see Thiemann's talk]
 - Choice of **amplitudes**
 - Choice of **discretisation**
- Can we **approximate** an observable on a coarse **discretisation**?
- Two conceptually different approaches tackling these questions:
- Group field theory approach: Summing over all triangulations and topologies [Oriti, Carrozza, Rivasseau, Ben Geloun, Gurau, Bonzom, Lahoche,...] [see Oriti's talk]
 - GFT generates spin foam amplitudes as Feynman diagrams
 - Renormalisable (as a QFT)? [see Carrozza's talk]
 - Spin foam vertices \sim 'atoms of spacetime'
- Refining approach: renormalize the spin foam amplitudes by coarse graining [Dittrich, Bahr, S.St., Martin-Benito, Delcamp, Mizera,...]
 - How is a coarse spin foam related to a fine spin foam?
 - Consistent boundary formulation [see Dittrich's talk]
 - 2-complex is a regulator.

$Renormalization - how \ and \ why? \ {}_{\rm [Dittrich, \ S.St. \ '14]}$

- Wilsonian renormalization: order degrees of freedom according to scale
- Background independence: use a 'relative' scale
- Represent same transition on different discretisations
 - Relate states $\phi_b \in \mathcal{H}_b$ and $\psi_{b'} \in \mathcal{H}_{b'}$
 - Embedding maps $\iota_{b'b}: \mathcal{H}_b \to \mathcal{H}_{b'}$
 - Consistency conditions
 - Then we can compare $\mathcal{A}_b(\phi_b)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{b'}(\psi_{b'})$



Spin foam amplitudes and embedding maps



- Consider two spin foam amplitudes, where $b \prec b'$:
 - $\mathcal{A}_b:\mathcal{H}_b\to\mathbb{C}$
 - $\mathcal{A}'_{b'}: \mathcal{H}_{b'} \to \mathbb{C}$
- Relate / **renormalize** spin foam amplitudes:



$$\mathcal{A}_{b'}'(\underbrace{\iota_{b'b}(\psi_b)}_{=\psi_{b'}}) =: \mathcal{A}_b'(\psi_b) \stackrel{?}{=} \mathcal{A}_b(\psi_b)$$

- Consistency of boundary states translated to a relation of amplitudes
- $\iota_{b,b'}$ relates amplitudes \mathcal{A}_b and $\mathcal{A}'_{b'}$, but need not be dynamical.
- Dynamical embedding maps [Dittrich '12, '14] from spin foam amplitudes [Dittrich, S.St. '14]

Embedding maps and cylindrical consistency

- Different embedding maps in loop quantum gravity
- Ashtekar–Lewandowski vacuum [Ashtekar, Isham '92, Ashtekar, Lewandowski '95]:
 - Arbitrary refinement of graphs
 - New edges carry spin j = 0.
- BF-vacuum [Dittrich, Geiller '15, Bahr, Dittrich, Geiller '15]: [see Geiller's talk]
 - Refinement of triangulations by Pachner / Alexander moves
 - New closed holonomies are flat.
- Consistency: how to refine boundaries and how to add degrees of freedom in vacuum state.
 - Vacuum refers to representing the same state (w.r.t. an inner product) on a finer boundary.
- Consider as well variational discrete systems [Dittrich, Höhn '12, '13, Höhn '14].

These concepts work well in the kinematical setting. Can they be extended to the **dynamical / physical** setting?

Sebastian Steinhaus (UHH)

Renormalizing spin foams

July 7th 2017 11 / 26

Outline

1 Spin foams and renormalization

- 2 Tensor network renormalization
- 3 Renormalizing restricted spin foam models
- 4 Outlook and Summary

Tensor network renormalization [Levin, Nave '07, Gu, Wen '09]

- Tensor network algorithms: two main steps
 - Summing over 'fine' degrees of freedom
 - Variable transformation: from 'fine' to 'coarse' degrees of freedom
- Variable transformation (= **embedding map**) computed from **dynamics**!
 - Truncation also determined by dynamics
- Renormalization group flow of tensors
- Numerical algorithm



Spin net models



 $\alpha = 0.35$





• 2D 'spin' systems

- Global symmetry
- Same degrees of freedom as spin foams

• Finite groups:

- Abelian [Dittrich, Eckert, Martin-Benito '11]
- Non-Abelian [Dittrich, Martin-Benito, Schnetter '13]

• Quantum groups:

- $SU(2)_k$ spin nets [Dittrich, Martin-Benito, S.St. '14]
- Toy model matter + 'gravity' [S.St. '15]
- $\bullet~BC~and~EPRL-type~models~\ensuremath{[\mathrm{Dittrich},}$

Schnetter, Seth, S.St. '16]

- Interesting, rich phase structure
- Almost scale invariance at phase transitions
- Extensive **optimization** required!

Sebastian Steinhaus (UHH)

Renormalizing spin foams

July 7th 2017 14 / 26

Tensor networks for lattice gauge theories





• Gauge theory: redundancy of data

• Decorated tensor networks

- Abelian [Dittrich, Mizera, S.St. '15]
- Non-Abelian [Delcamp, Dittrich '16]
- Amplitudes assigned to regions 'decorated' by spin network data
 - Explicit symmetry: fix redundant data
- Phase diagram for 3D Z₂ and S₃ lattice gauge theory.
- Issue: spin network basis **not stable** under coarse graining [see Livine's, Riello's and Delcamp's talks]

Basis encoding **curvature** and **torsion** excitations. **More efficient description?**

Outline

1 Spin foams and renormalization

- 2 Tensor network renormalization
- 3 Renormalizing restricted spin foam models
- 4 Outlook and Summary

Quantum cuboids: restricted spin foam [Bahr, S.St. '15]

- Strategy: study a subset of partition function
 - Might contribute **significantly** in specific physical scenarios
- Quantum cuboids: 4D EPRL model [Engle, Pereira, Rovelli, Livine '08] On hypercubic 2-complex [Kamiński, Kisielowski, Lewandowski '10]
- State sum restricted to specific intertwiner: cuboid shaped



• Coherent SU(2) intertwiner [Livine, Speziale '07] for cuboids:

$$|\iota_{j_1,j_2,j_3}\rangle = \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} dg \ g \triangleright \bigotimes_{i=1}^3 |j_i,e_i\rangle \otimes |j_i,-e_i\rangle$$

- e_i : normal unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- $e_1 = \exp(-i\pi \sigma_2/4) \triangleright e_3$ and $e_2 = \exp(i\pi \sigma_1/4) \triangleright e_3$.

Quantum cuboids – amplitudes





• Vertex amplitude \mathcal{A}_v : contract 8 coherent intertwiners

•
$$\mathcal{A}_v = \mathcal{A}_v^+ \mathcal{A}_v^-$$
 for $\gamma < 1$.

$$\mathcal{A}_v^{\pm} = \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)^8} dg_a \; e^{S^{\pm}[g_a]} \; , \; \text{with} \;$$

$$S^{\pm}[g_a] = \frac{1\pm\gamma}{2} \sum_l 2j_l \ln \left\langle -\vec{n}_{ab} \right| g_a^{-1} g_b \left| \vec{n}_{ba} \right\rangle$$

• Face amplitude \mathcal{A}_f :

$$\mathcal{A}_f = ((2j_f^+ + 1)(2j_f^- + 1))^{\alpha}$$

Large-j limit: S = 0Flat geometries – drastic simplification!

Renormalizing spin foams

July 7th 2017 18 / 26

Embedding map

•
$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_v(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, j_5, j_6) \sim \prod_{i=1}^6 j_i^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-D}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-D^*}}\right)^2$$

- α only parameter
- D determinant of Hessian matrix
- Geometric embedding map:



- 'Coarse cuboid' \approx 'Collection of fine cuboids'
- Count number of fine cuboids satisfying $J_F = \sum_f j_f$.

Geometric embedding map, not dynamical!

Sebastian Steinhaus (UHH)

The renormalization prescription $_{\rm [Bahr,\ S.St.\ PRL\ '16]}$





- Consider two glued geometric hypercuboids:
 - 'Coarse': 2 hypercuboids
 - 'Fine': 32 hypercuboids + embedding map
- Total volume fixed, **integrate** over remaining variables:
 - 'Coarse': 1 integration
 - 'Fine': 6 integrations
- Numerical Monte Carlo integration
- Compare Variance ΔV^2 of the volume of one coarse cuboid:

$$\left\langle \Delta V^2 \right\rangle_{\text{Coarse}(\alpha')} \approx \left\langle \Delta V^2 \right\rangle_{\text{Fine}(\alpha)}$$

• Determine **RG flow** for α , i.e. $\alpha \to \alpha'$.

Essentially **project** $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{v}^{(\text{fine})}$ back onto $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{v}^{(\text{coarse})}$ for different α .

Indications for a UV-attractive fixed point [Bahr, S.St. PRL '16, PRD '17]



- Renormalization prescription $\alpha'(\alpha)$
 - $\alpha < 0.628... \rightarrow \alpha' < \alpha$
 - $\alpha > 0.628... \rightarrow \alpha' > \alpha$
- Fixed point at $\alpha^* \approx 0.628...$
- Close to (almost) diffeomorphism invariant amplitude (α = 0.6067...).
- Similar for different choices of boundary data
- Error by projection appears to be small

Indications for a **phase transition** / **UV-attractive fixed point** Restoration of **diffeomorphism invariance**?

Sebastian Steinhaus (UHH)

Outline

1 Spin foams and renormalization

- 2 Tensor network renormalization
- 3 Renormalizing restricted spin foam models
- 4 Outlook and Summary

Renormalizing Quantum Frusta [Bahr, Rabuffo, S.St. w.i.p.]





- Replace cuboids by **frusta** [Bahr, Klöser, Rabuffo, '17]
 - Model expanding / contracting universe
- Include cosmological constant [Han '11]
 - Deformation at crossing of planar graph

• Large-j limit:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_v \sim & \frac{\exp(i\beta S_R)}{-D} + \frac{\exp(-i\beta S_R)}{-D^*} \\ & + \frac{2}{\sqrt{DD^*}} \cos(\gamma\beta S_R + \beta\Lambda V) \end{aligned}$$

- Additional parameters:
 - $\beta \sim$ Newton's constant
 - A: Cosmological constant

Consistency check – cuboids included!

[see Bahr's and Rabuffo's talks]

23 / 26

Spectral dimension of spin foams

• Effective dimension: spectral dimension d_S of spin foams [Modesto,

Magliaro, Perini, Caravelli,...]

• Diffusion process on Quantum Cuboids [S.St., Thürigen, w.i.p.]

- Spin foam with 10^{12} vertices + periodic boundary conditions
- Additional N-periodicity [Sahlmann '10]
- Laplace operator Δ





Summary

- Refinement approach to renormalizing spin foams
- Key idea: same transition represented on different discretisations
 - Check consistency of theory
 - Efficiently compute results
- **Tensor network renormalization**: ideal for identifying phases / transitions
 - Rich phase structure in 2D models
 - Phase diagram for 3D lattice gauge theories
- Restricted spin foam models: RG flow for 4D quantum cuboids
 - Indications for phase transition and UV-attractive fixed point
 - Hope: approximate well specific physical situation
- Numerics indispensable for this approach: see school 'Making quantum gravity computable' @ Perimeter (pirsa.org)

Many **open issues** remain:

Lorentzian signature, full theory, include matter, ...

Sebastian Steinhaus (UHH)

Thank you for your attention!